

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/042,344	01/11/2002	Jakke Makela	4208-4044 7513	
27123 75	90 05/16/2006	EXAMINER		INER
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.			NAWAZ, ASAD M	
3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW TOTAL,	11 10201 2101	2155		
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/042,344	MAKELA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Asad M. Nawaz	2155			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
WHIC - External after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply will, by state the period for reply will. Set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply will, by state the period for reply will. Set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply will. Set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply will. Set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply will. Set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply will.	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)  🏹	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	February 2006.				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	,					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-13,29-32 and 34-47</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-13,29-32 and 34-47</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) 🗌	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
-	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>					
	application from the International Bure	•	ed in this National Stage			
* 5	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
		or the continue copies not receive	, d.			
Attacher						
Attachment  1) Notice	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notice	Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
	B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:					
- apei	rio(o), iniaii Date	o) 🗀 Ouler				

Application/Control Number: 10/042,344 Page 2

Art Unit: 2155

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to the RCE filed 2/13/06. Claims 1-3, 29-31, and 42 have been amended. Claims 43-47 have been newly added. No other claims have been added, amended, or canceled. Accordingly, claims 1-4, 6-13, 29-32, and 34-47 are pending.

## Claim Objections

2. Claims 1 and 42 are objected to because of the following informalities: The claims have incorrect markings in amendment. For example, claim 1 recites "the same multi-user application data between said....". The word "the" was previously present and thus should not be underlined. Also, claim 42 appears to have the limitation "a computer readable medium" recited twice and without any underlining, suggesting a typographical error. Appropriate corrections are required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the same multi-user data". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 6, 10-13, 29-31, 33-34, and 38-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fishman et al, 6,871,236 (Fishman hereafter).
- As per claim 1, Fishman teaches as system for portable networking of multi-user applications, comprising: at least one wireless hand-held user terminal (274, fig. 2., mobile phone is a wireless terminal, and a portable hand-held user device operating as a wireless server (250, fig. 2., mobile gateway is functionally equivalent as portable server) including a mass memory module to store and communicate the same multi-user application data to each said at least one wireless user terminal (col. 7, lines 29-32., storage devices such as hard disk drive (32, fig. 2) and/or magnetic disk drive (28, fig. 2) store program data used to communicate with wireless device; wherein a wireless protocol communicates the same multi-user application data between said wireless server and said at least one wireless user terminal via a wireless link (col. 8, lines 9-10; wireless gateway server' (250, fig. 2) communicates wirelessly with mobile phone (274, fig. 2)).

Art Unit: 2155

As to claim 2, Fishman discloses the wireless terminal further comprises: a user interface that allows the user to request data from said mass memory module (col. 8, line 10; user interface such as a keypad or screen is an inherent component in a mobile phone device used to request data from server); a wireless communication interface for communicating the same multi-user application data between said portable wireless server and said at least one wireless user terminal (col. 8, line 10; wireless communication interface (antenna Page 4 or wireless interface card) is an inherent component in a wireless device to communicate with wireless server), a buffer memory for storing instruction for executing the same multi-user application data received by said at least one wireless user terminal (col. 8, line 10, storage memory is an inherent component in a wireless device for storing data received from mobile server); a processor in communication with said buffer memory for executing instruction stored in said buffer memory (col. 8, line 10., processor is an inherent component of a mobile device); and a display for viewing the multi-user application data received from said portable server (col. 8, line 10; display is an inherent component of a mobile device).

As to claim 3, Fishman discloses server further comprises: a mass memory module for storing data used by said at least one wireless user terminal (33, fig. 1., col. 7, lines 29-30., hard disk stores server data); a processor in communication with said mass memory module that executes requests for the multi-user application data by said at least one wireless user terminal and locates the multi-user data in said

Art Unit: 2155

mass memory module (col. 7, line 1., processing unit processes all transactions in the server); and a wireless communication interface for communicating the same multi-user application data between said mass memory module and said at least one wireless user terminal (53, fig. 1, col. 7, line 66., mobile gateway has a wireless interface to communicate with wireless clients).

As to claim 6, Fishman teaches the system comprising an optional USB plug for connecting said portable wireless server to a personal computer (col. 7, lines 41-42).

As to claims 10-11, Fishman teaches the wireless user terminal is a cellular telephone (col. 8, line 10)., wherein said at least one wireless terminal device comprises a plurality of wireless terminals in communication with and receiving data from said potable server (col. 8, lines 9-11., col. 11, lines 1-5), second mobile gateway (279, fig. 2) is a wireless client of first mobile gateway (250, fig. 2), all mobile gateways have plurality of wireless clients).

As to claims 12-13, Fishman teaches mass memory is either a device (29 fig. 2; col. 7 lines 29-31), mass memory module is magnetic storage device, an optical storage device, or solid state storage device that is exchangeable (29, fig. 2., col. 7, lines 29-31., floppy disk drive is removable and/or exchangeable).

As to claim 29, Fishman discloses the method for portable networking of multi-user application, comprising: storing multi-user application data in the mass memory of a portable hand-held user device operating as a wireless server (col. 7, lines 29-32; col. 8, lines 9-10), wireless server stores and customizes email data for plurality of wireless

clients); initiating wireless communication between said portable wireless server and at least one wireless hand-held user terminal device (col. 7, line 64 - col. 8, line 1, col. 8. lines 9-10., mobile gateway server establishes wireless communications with wireless clients); transmitting the same multi-user application data stored in said mass memory to each said wireless user terminal device using a wireless protocol (col. 8, lines 9-1 1; col. 9, lines 26-27,. Wireless server sends customized email data to plurality of wireless clients); and executing of said multi-user data by said wireless user terminal device transmitted by said portable wireless server (col. 11, lines 32-36, after receiving notification alert data from wireless server, mobile client uses the data to request additional data from server).

Claim 30 contains essentially the same limitations as claim 2 above and is thus rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 31 contains essentially the same limitations as claim 3 above and is thus rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 34 contains essentially the same limitations as claim 6 above and is thus rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 38-39 contain essentially the same limitations as claims 10-11 above and are thus rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 40-41 contain essentially the same limitations as claims 12-13 above and are thus rejected under similar rationale.

Application/Control Number: 10/042,344

Art Unit: 2155

Claim 42 contains essentially the same limitations as claim 29 above and is thus rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 43 contains essentially the same limitations as claim 1 above and is thus rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 44 contains essentially the same limitations as claim 2 above and is thus rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 45 contains essentially the same limitations as claim 3 above and is thus rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 46-47 contain essentially the same limitations as claim 2 above and are thus rejected under similar rationale

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 7-9 and 35-37 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fishman.

As per claims 7-8, Fishman does not explicitly teach the system further includes optional plugs as data and power cables connection between said at

Application/Control Number: 10/042,344

Art Unit: 2155

least one wireless user terminal and said portable server. Backup or redundancy is well known in the art to provide alternative means for devices to communicate with each other.

Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide alternative means for the wireless device to communicate directly to the server via cable when the wireless signal in a building is weak. Also, the alternative power connection to the server allows the mobile device to conserve energy when the device is physically close to the server.

As per claims 9, Fishman does not explicitly teach the optional cable for both power and data transfer between said portable wireless server and said at least one wireless user terminal. It is well known in the art a cable can serve different multipurposes. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a multi-purpose cable to limit the number of cables connected to the server.

Claims 35-36 contain essentially the same limitations as claims 7-8 above and are thus rejected under similar rationale

Claim 37 contains essentially the same limitations as claim 9 above and is thus rejected under similar rationale.

10. Claims 4 and 32 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fishman in view of Flom et al, US **p**ub 2001/0054087 (Flom hereafter).

As per claims 4 and 32, Fishman does not explicitly teach using Bluetooth to

Art Unit: 2155

transmit data by wireless device. Flom teaches a wireless device using communication means such as Bluetooth to transmit data wirelessly (paragraph 0013). Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Bluetooth over shod-range wireless communications to save on overhead costs.

### Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.In substance, the applicant argues that amending the independent claims to

describe "the same multi-user application data transferred to the plurality of portable

terminals" would overcome the prior art relied upon, USP 6,871,236 (Fishman).

In response, the examiner agrees with the applicant's statement. However, the examiner points out that the independent claims have not been amended to claim that which was discussed in the interview on 2/2/06 and contend in the arguments.

Although the claims have been amended to incorporate limitations such as the same multi-user application, the claims do not present limitations reciting the data is transferred to the plurality of portable terminals. They still recite the transfer to at least one wireless user terminal. Thus, the Fishman disclosure still meets the scope of the limitation as currently claimed.

#### Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asad M. Nawaz whose telephone number is (571) 272-3988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/042,344 Page 10

Art Unit: 2155

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AMN

PRIMARY EXAMINER